



DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY
BOARD FOR CORRECTION OF NAVAL RECORDS
2 NAVY ANNEX
WASHINGTON DC 20370-5100

JRE
Docket No: 3203-00
18 June 2001

Dear [REDACTED]

This is in reference to your application for correction of your naval record pursuant to the provisions of title 10 of the United States Code, section 1552.

A three-member panel of the Board for Correction of Naval Records, sitting in executive session, considered your application on 7 June 2001. Your allegations of error and injustice were reviewed in accordance with administrative regulations and procedures applicable to the proceedings of this Board. Documentary material considered by the Board consisted of your application, together with all material submitted in support thereof, your naval record and applicable statutes, regulations and policies.

After careful and conscientious consideration of the entire record, the Board found that the evidence submitted was insufficient to establish the existence of probable material error or injustice.

The Board found that you served on active duty in the Navy from 15 February 1984 to 14 February 1987, when you were released from active duty and assigned a reenlistment code of RE-4. You reenlisted in the Navy on 4 October 1988. You received level three alcohol rehabilitation services during your second enlistment, but were thought to have a "guarded" prognosis for full recovery. In addition, you were diagnosed as suffering from a personality disorder, not otherwise specified, with schizotypal traits. You were found physically qualified for separation on 16 April 1991, and discharged under other than honorable conditions on 23 April 1991, by reason of misconduct, due to multiple violations of the Uniform Code of Military Justice. The Naval Discharge Review Board denied your request for upgrade of your discharge on 27 August 1993.

In the absence of evidence which demonstrates that you were unfit for further service by reason of physical disability at the time of your discharge, and that your discharge by reason of misconduct was erroneous or unjust, the Board was unable to recommend any corrective action in your case. Accordingly, your application has been denied. The names and votes of

the members of the panel will be furnished upon request.

It is regretted that the circumstances of your case are such that favorable action cannot be taken. You are entitled to have the Board reconsider its decision upon submission of new and material evidence or other matter not previously considered by the Board. In this regard, it is important to keep in mind that a presumption of regularity attaches to all official records. Consequently, when applying for a correction of an official naval record, the burden is on the applicant to demonstrate the existence of probable material error or injustice.

Sincerely,

W. DEAN PFEIFFER
Executive Director